UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,270	07/19/2001	Thomas E. Creamer	BOC9-2000-0058 (193)	2916
40987 7590 07/30/2007 AKERMAN SENTERFITT P. O. BOX 3188			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TOAN D	
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/910,270	CREAMER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Toan D. Nguyen	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become a	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th      Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-30 and 32-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-30 and 32-44 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<del></del>

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-17, 21-30, and 32-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612) in view of Shenefiel (US 6,857,008) further in view of Davis et al. (US 5,937,160).

For claim 1, Gifford et al. disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

inserting a voice communications in an e-mail message (col. 6 lines 30-33, and col. 7 lines 28-30) sent from a sender at a sending node (caller means, figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 19-20) to a recipient at a receiving node (figure 2, user

Art Unit: 2616

interface means or subscriber means)(figure 5, reference steps 580, 590 and 595, col. 15 lines 18-26), wherein said voice communications comprises a selectable symbol for establishing voice communications by the recipient; wherein, if said e-mail message is sent to a plurality of recipients, said inserting step comprises inserting a voice communications comprising a plurality of selectable symbols, each symbol uniquely corresponding to one of the plurality of recipients, and wherein communications with one or more recipients can be established by selecting one or more corresponding ones of the plurality of selectable symbols (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14);

embedding within said voice communications an executable voice communications link program code (col. 6 lines 30-33, and col. 7 lines 28-30), said program code configured to execute within said receiving node (figure 2, user interface means or subscriber means)(col. 6 lines 35-37, and col. 8 lines 65-67) to establish a voice communication link between said sending node and said receiving node (col. 9 lines 2-7);

transmitting said e-mail message to said recipient (figure 5, reference steps 580, 590 and 595, col. 15 lines 18-26); and

responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communication (figure 6, col. 8 lines 55-58 and col. 15 lines 56-58), establishing said voice communications link between said sender and said recipient (figure 2, user interface means or subscriber means)(col. 10 lines 7-34).

Art Unit: 2616

However, Gifford et al. do not expressly disclose a voice communications identifier. In analogous art, Shenefiel discloses a voice communications identifier (col. 7 lines 48-50).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the voice communication identifier, and would have applied Shenefiel's XML tag in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Shenefiel's arrangement for accessing an IP-based messaging server by telephone for management of stored messages in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to perform an IMAP operation based on supplied user speech information (col. 7 lines 50-51).

Furthermore, Gifford et al. in view of Shenefiel do not expressly disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object. In an analogous art, Davis et al. disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object (col. 12 line 46).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object, and would have applied Davis et al.'s binary files in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Davis et al.'s systems, methods and computer program products for updating hypertext documents via electronic mail in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to provide non-text files, including, but not limited to audio, video and

Art Unit: 2616

graphic files, may be included with an e-mail message as attachments (col. 12 lines 43-45).

For claim 2, Gifford et al. disclose wherein said inserting step further comprises the step of inserting in said e-mail message a selectable symbol denoting voice communication availability (col. 6 lines 15-37).

For claim 3, Gifford et al. disclose wherein said inserting step further comprises the step of inserting in said e-mail message a reference to said sender of said e-mail message (figure 2, col. 4 lines 35-40 and col. 5 lines 25-37).

For claim 4, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier, executing said executable voice communications link program code in order to establish said voice communications link with said sender (col. 7 lines 28-30 and col. 8 lines 65-67).

For claim 5, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient (figure 2, user interface means or subscriber means) selecting said voice communications identifier (col. 7 lines 28-30, and col. 8 lines 65-67), determining a link address for said sender based on said reference, and executing said executable voice communications link program code at said receiving node in order to establish said voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 6, Gifford et al disclose wherein said link address is a telephone number (col. 14 lines 38).

Art Unit: 2616

For claim 8, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier (col. 7 lines 28-30, and col. 8 lines 65-67), establishing a Voice over IP (VoIP) based voice communications link with said recipient (col. 11 lines 3-4).

For claim 9, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier (col. 8 lines 65-67), establishing a telephony-based voice communications link with said recipient over a public switched telephone network (PSTN)(col. 11 lines 1-4).

For claim 10, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

detecting a voice communications inserted in an e-mail message (col. 6 lines 30-33, and col. 7 lines 28-30) transmitted by a sender at a sending node (caller means, figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 19-20) to a recipient at a receiving node (figure 2, user interface means or subscriber means)(figure 5, reference steps 580, 590 and 595, col. 15 lines 18-26), said voice communications comprises a selectable icon for establishing voice communications by the recipient (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14) and having embedded therein an executable voice communications link program code (col. 6 lines 30-33, and col. 7 lines 28-30) configured to execute within said receiving node (col. 8 lines 65-67) to establish said voice communications link between said sending node and said receiving node (col. 9 lines 2-7);

Art Unit: 2616

responsive to detecting said voice communications (figure 5, reference 510, col. 14 lines 47-50), displaying a selectable icon (figure 4, col. 8 lines 16-19 and col. 8 lines 55-58); and

responsive to a selection of said icon (figure 6, col. 8 lines 55-58, and col. 15 lines 56-58), establishing a voice communications link between said sender and said recipient (col. 10 lines 7-34)

wherein, if said e-mail message is sent to a plurality of recipients, said detecting step comprises detecting a voice communication identifier comprising a plurality of selectable icon, each icon uniquely corresponding to one of the plurality of recipients, and wherein communications with one or more recipients can be established by selecting one or more corresponding one of the plurality of selectable icons (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14).

However, Gifford et al. do not expressly disclose a voice communications identifier. In an analogous art, Shenefiel discloses a voice communications identifier comprises a selectable icon for establishing voice communications by the recipient (col. 7 lines 48-50).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the voice communications identifier, and would have applied Shenefiel's XML tag in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Shenefiel's arrangement for accessing an IP-based messaging server by telephone for management of stored messages in Gifford et al.'s unified

Art Unit: 2616

communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to perform an IMAP operation based on supplied user speech information (col. 7 lines 50-51).

Furthermore, Gifford et al. in view of Shenefiel do not expressly disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object. In an analogous art, Davis et al. disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object (col. 12 line 46).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object, and would have applied Davis et al.'s binary files in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Davis et al.'s systems, methods and computer program products for updating hypertext documents via electronic mail in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to provide non-text files, including, but not limited to audio, video and graphic files, may be included with an e-mail message as attachments (col. 12 lines 43-45).

For claim 11, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of extracting said executable voice communications link program code from said voice communications identifier to establish said voice communications link with said sender (col. 6 lines 53-61 and col. 14 lines 44-50); and

responsive to said selection of said icon, executing said executable voice communications link program code (col. 6 lines 47-61).

Art Unit: 2616

For claim 12, Gifford et al disclose the step of extracting an embedded reference to said sender from said e-mail message (col. 6 lines 53-61 and col. 14 lines 44-50).

For claim 13, Gifford et al disclose wherein said executing step further comprises the step of:

determining a link address for said sender based on said extracted reference (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38), and

executing said executable voice communications link program code in order to establish said voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (col. 6 lines 56-61).

For claim 14, Cloutier discloses wherein said link address is a telephone number (col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 16, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier, establishing a Voice over LP (VoIP) based voice communications link with said recipient (col. 11 lines 3-4).

For claim 17, Gifford et al disclose wherein said establishing step comprises the step of responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communications identifier, establishing a telephony-based voice communications link with said recipient over a public switched telephone network (PSTN)(col. 11 lines 1-4).

For claim 21, Gifford et al. disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

Art Unit: 2616

inserting a voice communication in an e-mail message (col. 6 lines 30-37, and col. 7 lines 28-30) from a sender (caller means, figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 19-20) to a recipient node (figure 2, user interface means or subscriber means) (figure 5, reference steps 580, 590 and 595, col. 15 lines 18-26), said voice communications comprises a selectable symbol for establishing voice communications by the recipient (figure 2, reference 2202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14) and having embedded therein an executable voice communications link program code (col. 6 lines 30-33, and col. 7 lines 28-30) configured to execute within said receiving node (col. 8 lines 65-67) to establish said voice communications link between said sending node and said receiving node (figure 2, user interface means or subscriber means)(col. 9 lines 2-7);

transmitting said e-mail message to said recipient (figure 5, reference steps 580, 590 and 595, col. 15 lines 18-26); and

responsive to said recipient selecting said voice communication (figure 6, col. 8 lines 55-58 and col. 15 lines 56-58), establishing a voice communications link between said sender and said recipient (col. 10 lines 7-34)

wherein, if said e-mail message is sent to a plurality of recipients, said detecting step comprises detecting a voice communication identifier comprising a plurality of selectable icon, each icon uniquely corresponding to one of the plurality of recipients, and wherein communications with one or more recipients can be established by selecting one or more corresponding one of the plurality of selectable icons (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14).

However, Gifford et al. do not expressly disclose a voice communication identifier. In an analogous art, Shenefiel discloses a voice communication identifier (col. 7 lines 48-50).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the voice communication identifier, and would have applied Shenefiel's XML tag in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Shenefiel's arrangement for accessing an IP-based messaging server by telephone for management of stored messages in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to perform an IMAP operation based on supplied user speech information (col. 7 lines 50-51).

Furthermore, Gifford et al. in view of Shenefiel do not expressly disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object. In an analogous art, Davis et al. disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object (col. 12 line 46).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object, and would have applied Davis et al.'s binary files in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Davis et al.'s systems, methods and computer program products for updating hypertext documents via electronic mail in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to provide non-text files, including, but not limited to audio, video and

Art Unit: 2616

graphic files, may be included with an e-mail message as attachments (col. 12 lines 43-45).

For claim 22, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 2.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 23, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 3.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 24, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 4.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claim 25, the claim is directed to the carne subject matter in claim 5.

Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 26 and 34, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim

6. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 27 and 35, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim

7. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 28 and 36, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim

8. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 29 and 37, the claims are directed to the same subject matter in claim

9. Therefore, they are subjected to the same rejection.

For claims 30 and 38-40, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

detecting a voice communications inserted in an e-mail message (col. 6 lines 30-

37, and col. 7 lines 28-30) transmitted by a sender at a sending node (caller means,

Art Unit: 2616

figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 19-20) to a recipient at a receiving node (figure 2, user interface means or subscriber means)(figure 5, reference steps 580, 590 and 595, col. 15 lines 18-26), said voice communications identifier comprising a selectable icon for establishing voice communications by the recipient (figure 2, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14);

responsive to detecting said voice communications (figure 5, reference 510, col. 14 lines 47-50), displaying a selectable icon (figure 4, col. 8 lines 16-19, and col. 8 lines 55-58); and

responsive to a selection of said icon (figure 6, col. 8 lines 55-58, and col. 15 lines 56-58), extracting a voice communications link program code with said voice communications (col. 8 lines 65-67) and establishing a voice communications link between said sender and said recipient (col. 9 lines 2-7 and col. 10 lines 7-34)

wherein, if said e-mail message is sent to a plurality of recipients, said detecting step comprises detecting a voice communication identifier comprising a plurality of selectable icon, each icon uniquely corresponding to one of the plurality of recipients, and wherein communications with one or more recipients can be established by selecting one or more corresponding one of the plurality of selectable icons (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14).

However, Gifford et al. do not expressly disclose a voice communications identifier. In an analogous art, Shenefiel discloses a voice communications identifier (col. 7 lines 48-50).

Art Unit: 2616

One skilled in the art would have recognized the voice communications identifier, and would have applied Shenefiel's XML tag in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Shenefiel's arrangement for accessing an IP-based messaging server by telephone for management of stored messages in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to perform an IMAP operation based on supplied user speech information (col. 7 lines 50-51).

Furthermore, Gifford et al. in view of Shenefiel do not expressly disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object. In an analogous art, Davis et al. disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object (col. 12 line 46).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object, and would have applied Davis et al.'s binary files in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Davis et al.'s systems, methods and computer program products for updating hypertext documents via electronic mail in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to provide non-text files, including, but not limited to audio, video and graphic files, may be included with an e-mail message as attachments (col. 12 lines 43-45).

For claim 32, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 12. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

Art Unit: 2616

For claim 33, the claim is directed to the same subject matter in claim 13. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

4. Claims 7, 15, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612) in view of Shenefiel (US 6,857,008) and Davis et al. (US 5,937,160) further in view of Funk et al (US 5,937,162).

For claims 7, 15, and 18, Gifford et al disclose extracting embedded references to said sender, said embedded references being extracted from said e-mail message and displaying a corresponding selectable icon (col. 8 lines 49-67 and col. 14 lines 47-50 as set fort in claim 18).

However, Gifford et al in view of Shenefiel do not expressly disclose at least one other recipient of said e-mail message and displaying for each of said at least one other recipient. In an analogous art, Funk et al disclose at least one other recipient of said e-mail message and displaying for each of said at least one other recipient (figure 1, reference 114, col. 5 lines 66-67 as set forth in claim 18).

Funk et al disclose wherein said link address is an IP address (as set forth in claims 7 and 15).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the at least one other recipient of said e-mail message and displaying for each of said at least one other recipient, and would have applied Funk et al.'s service processing system in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Funk et al.'s method and apparatus for high volume e-mail delivery in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation

Art Unit: 2616

being to feed those e-mail messages through the internet 106 to end user terminals 114 (col. 5 lines 66-67).

For claim 19, Gifford et al. disclose wherein said executing step further comprises the step of:

responsive to a selection of one of said selectable icons, identifying a corresponding recipient (col. 6 lines 15-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30), determining a link address for said corresponding recipient based on said extracted reference, and

executing said executable voice communications link program component in order to establish said voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 8 lines 65-67 and col. 14 lines 38).

For claim 20, Gifford et al. disclose wherein said executing step further comprises the step of:

responsive to a selection of two or more of said selectable icons, identifying a corresponding recipient (col. 6 lines 15-65 and col. 7 lines 28-30), determining a link address for said corresponding recipient based on said extracted reference (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 14 lines 38), and

executing said executable voice communications link program component in order to establish a voice communications link with said sender according to said determined line address (figure 5, reference step 500, col. 8 lines 65-67 and col. 14 lines 38).

Art Unit: 2616

5. Claims 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino, II (US 5,680,551) in view of Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612) further in view of Davis et al. (US 5,937,160).

For claims 41-43, Martino, II discloses electronic messaging method of and system for heterogeneous connectivity and universal and generic interfacing for distributed applications and processes residing in wide variety of computing platforms and communication transport facilities, comprising:

a message header component encapsulating a reference to at least one of a sending node (figure 5, reference SENDING COMPUTER) in the network and a recipient node (figure 5, reference RECEIVING COMPUTER) in the network (col. 10 lines 28-29);

a text message component encapsulating message text (col. 1 lines 30-36).

However, Martino, II does not expressly disclose message text which can be extracted from the electronic message and displayed in a message client; and an executable voice communications link program component configured to established a voice communications link between said sending and recipient nodes by executing within said recipient node. In an analogous art, Gifford et al. disclose message text which can be extracted from the electronic message and displayed in a message client (col. 6 lines 47-53, and col. 14 lines 47-50); said message header further containing a selectable icon for establishing voice communications by the recipient (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14) and an executable voice communications link program component configured to established a voice communications link between

said sending and recipient nodes by executing within said recipient node (col. 6 lines 53-61, and col. 8 lines 65-67), and wherein said program codes executes in response to selecting said selectable icon, wherein, if said e-mail message is sent to a plurality of recipients, said detecting step comprises detecting a voice communication identifier comprising a plurality of selectable icon, each icon uniquely corresponding to one of the plurality of recipients, and wherein communications with one or more recipients can be established by selecting one or more corresponding one of the plurality of selectable icons (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14).

Page 18

Gifford et al. disclose further wherein said voice communications link is a Voice over IP (VoIP) based communication link (col. 11 lines 1-4 as set forth in claim 42), wherein said voice communications link is a telephony-based link (col. 11 lines 1-4 as set forth in claim 43).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the message text which can be extracted from the electronic message and displayed in a message client, and would have applied Gifford et al.'s e-mail message in Martino, II.'s encapsulation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail in Martino, II's electronic messaging method of and system for heterogeneous connectivity and universal and generic interfacing for distributed applications and processes residing in wide variety of computing platforms and communication transport facilities with the motivation being to

provide the extended functionality and power gained in sending an enriched e-mail message (including a user interface) as compared to a conventional text only e-mail messages (col. 5 lines 54-57).

Furthermore, Martino, II in view of Gifford et al. do not expressly disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object. In an analogous art, Davis et al. disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object (col. 12 line 46).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object, and would have applied Davis et al.'s binary files in Martino, II.'s encapsulation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Davis et al.'s systems, methods and computer program products for updating hypertext documents via electronic mail in Martino, II's electronic messaging method of and system for heterogeneous connectivity and universal and generic interfacing for distributed applications and processes residing in wide variety of computing platforms and communication transport facilities with the motivation being to provide non-text files, including, but not limited to audio, video and graphic files, may be included with an e-mail message as attachments (col. 12 lines 43-45).

6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gifford et al. (US 6,549,612) in view of Shenefiel (US 6,857,008) and Martino, II (US 5,680,551) further in view of Davis et al. (US 5,937,160).

For claim 44, Gifford et al disclose unified communication services via e-mail, comprising:

a conventional e-mail processor (figure 1, col. 3 lines 54-55), said conventional e-mail processor extracting and displaying message text in an e-mail conveyed by a sender to a recipient in a data communication network (col. 6 lines 47-53, and col. 15 lines 18-26); and

a processor (figure 1, col. 3 lines 54-55), said processor identifying a voice communication link comprising a selectable symbol for establishing voice communications by the recipient (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14) in said received e-mail (col. 6 lines 53-61), displaying said selectable icon in response to detecting said voice communication link identifier (col. 6 lines 53-66) and, responsive to a selection of said selectable icon, establishing a voice communications link between said recipient and said sender of said received e-mail by executing an executable voice communications link program code embedded in said link identifier (col. 6 lines 55-67 and col. 9 lines 2-7)

wherein, if said e-mail message is sent to a plurality of recipients, said detecting step comprises detecting a voice communication identifier comprising a plurality of selectable icon, each icon uniquely corresponding to one of the plurality of recipients, and wherein communications with one or more recipients can be established by selecting one or more corresponding one of the plurality of selectable icons (figure 2, reference 202, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14).

Art Unit: 2616

However, Gifford et al. do not expressly disclose a voice communications identifier, a voice conversation processor, message text encapsulated in a received e-mail, and wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object

In an analogous art, Shenefiel discloses a voice communications identifier (col. 7 lines 48-50), and a voice conversation processor (figure 3, reference 66, col. 6 lines 21-23).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the voice communications identifier, and the voice conversation processor, and would have applied Shenefiel's XML tag in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Shenefiel's arrangement for accessing an IP-based messaging server by telephone for management of stored messages in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to perform an IMAP operation based on supplied user speech information (col. 7 lines 50-51).

Furthermore, Gifford et al. in view of Shenefiel do not expressly disclose message text encapsulated in a received e-mail. In an analogous art, Martino, II discloses message text encapsulated in a received e-mail (col. 1 lines 31-33).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the message text encapsulated in a received email to use the teachings of Martino, II in the system of Gifford et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use the message text encapsulated in a received e-mail as taught by

Martino, II in Gifford et al's system with the motivation being to produce at each final destination (col. 1 lines 33-36).

Moreover, Gifford et al in view of Shenefiel and Martino, II does not expressly disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object. In an analogous art, Davis et al. disclose wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object (col. 12 line 46).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said program code comprises a binary representation of a compiled object, and would have applied Davis et al.'s binary files in Gifford et al.'s e-mail message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Davis et al.'s systems, methods and computer program products for updating hypertext documents via electronic mail in Gifford et al.'s unified communication services via e-mail with the motivation being to provide non-text files, including, but not limited to audio, video and graphic files, may be included with an e-mail message as attachments (col. 12 lines 43-45).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 05/07/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues with respect to claims 1, 10, 21, and 30 on page 20, third paragraph that Gifford, however, provides no selectable symbol or icon that in and of itself establishes the recipients voice communication link. The examiner disagrees.

Applicant's attention is directed to Gifford patent at col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14 (see

figure 2, reference 202), where Gifford clearly teaches "Turning now to FIG. 2, FIG. 2 shows an exemplary embodiment of a user interface according to the present invention. This user interface, and its server side components provided by a UC server, provide unified communication services including: playback and viewing of voice, fax, and video messages, placing phone or video calls directly from the interface, forwarding the received message to one or more parties....(selectable symbol or icon that in and of itself establishes the recipients voice communication link means).

The applicant argues on page 23, fifth paragraph that Gifford and the other references likewise fail to teach or suggest that if a message is sent to a plurality of recipients, a voice communications identifier comprising a plurality of selectable symbols is inserted into the message, as recited in each of the amended independent claims, and it further follows that none of the references teach or suggest that each symbol uniquely corresponds to one of the plurality of recipients and that communications with one or more recipients can established by selecting one or more corresponding one of the plurality of the selectable symbols. The examiner disagrees. At col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 14 (see figure 2, reference 202), Gifford clearly teaches a plurality of selectable symbols is inserted into the message, and that each symbol uniquely corresponds to one of the plurality of recipients and that communications with one or more recipients can established by selecting one or more corresponding one of the plurality of the selectable symbols (col. 6 lines 25-30).

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/910,270 Page 24

Art Unit: 2616

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.(7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 25

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ŤN

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600